

METHODISTS, SOUTH.

THE LEGISLATURE OF THE CHURCH TO MEET THIS WEEK.

Subjects to be Considered—What the Virginia Conference Will Ask For—Able Representatives.

The General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, meets in Memphis next Thursday. This is the body that legislates for the whole Church. It meets quadrennially, and is composed of members elected by the annual conferences, in the ratio of one ministerial delegate for every thirty members of the conference, and an equal number of laymen. The Virginia Conference will send seven ministerial and seven lay delegates, as follows: Clerical—R. N. Shedd, Paul Whitehead, A. C. Smith, W. B. Edwards, James C. Reed, A. G. Brown, and J. Powell Garland, Lay—J. W. Bradbury, W. V. Smith, James E. Schofield, J. P. Tetterton, S. N. Brickhouse, R. S. Paul, and C. E. Vawter. The clerical representatives are John J. Lafferty, W. G. Starr, and Herbert M. Hope. The laymen are G. J. Hunt, J. W. C. Jones, and M. A. Coghill. The Virginia Conference will leave tomorrow night for Memphis, and will travel in special Pullman cars, through from Norfolk to Memphis, via the Norfolk and Western railroad.

The General Conference usually sits for fifteen or twenty days. This session will probably be lengthy, because there are numerous items of business to be considered, and some of them are sure to provoke much discussion.

The body is very conservative, or has been so in former years. It generally stands for a less conservative policy, which the late Dr. P. A. Peterson was chairman for several sessions, and when that committee reports "non-concurrence" that generally has ended the matter. Should a less conservative policy be made chairman of that committee, some of the proposed measures, that have heretofore been turned down, but will not stay down, may be incorporated into the laws of the Church.

Some of the matters that will come before the body are regarded as involving the future prosperity of the Church.

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

At present there is no general board of education after the plan of the northern branch of the Methodist Church, and it is proposed to legislate such a board into existence, and supply it with funds to carry on its work by part of the collection on "Children's Day." As the Church is alive to the great question of education, this measure will probably receive the approval of the body.

EVANGELISTS.

The subject of evangelists has been possibly more considered and discussed by the membership of the Church than any other question, that will come before the body. There are many that think that the office of evangelist should be created and provided by law, and great pressure will be brought to bear to secure such legislation as will provide for special evangelists.

Such a well known Methodist minister the other day:

"There's no doubt about it, the day of the evangelist has certainly dawned. Wesley himself was nothing if not an evangelist. If I understand the economy of the Bible, it includes evangelists. History repeats itself, circumstances are always at hand which require the services of this class of Christian workers."

"Yes, sir, the question of evangelists is up for settlement. There's a great deal of expectation to the movement from people high in the Church, and you may bet for a great time and cry over the matter."

Heretofore, every movement toward creating evangelists had been frowned upon, but the "mission" of Sam Jones, who had been a member of the North Georgia Conference, has reopened the question, and the approaching General Conference will have to face it. The question is solved by Dr. Robbins, of Atlanta, Ga., who some days ago to a reporter of the Constitution said:

"Yes, I believe that Sam Jones' case will add to the interest of the question. Personally, I can't for the life of me see any demand whatever for the modern

evangelist; and I have been engaged in a discussion of the question with Mr. Jones for several weeks, in the columns of the official organ of the Church.

"Now, there are some men who call themselves evangelists who hold successful meetings. It is wholly unnecessary, however, and, I believe, positively dangerous for the General Conference to discuss this matter. It is sure to cause a great disturbance. What is wanted is merely something to meet the demand as it is presented to us. We don't want to put men where they have to be at the expense of creating a demand. It would be sufficient for all purposes, I believe, to provide a law for the liberation of such local preachers, the mission cause, the work of church extension, and then there are many proposed amendments of the law with respect to minor matters."

Other general questions will come before the body, such as the proposed change of name of the Church by leaving off the suffix "the" in the mission cause, the work of church extension, and then there are many proposed amendments of the law with respect to minor matters.

WHAT VIRGINIA ASKS FOR.

The Virginia Conference will, through its representatives, present memorials on the following subjects:

1. To decrease the size of the body by giving each conference a delegate for every forty-five members, instead of one for every thirty, as the law now stands.
2. To give the right to administer the sacraments and the rite of matrimony to all pastors, either without respect to their ordination, or else by making young ministers eligible to administer the sacraments in the judgment of the Annual Conference they may be considered worthy of it.
3. To enlarge the presiding elders' districts, so that they may include forty instead of twenty appointments.
4. To prescribe such conditions of church membership as shall require such profession of repentance and faith as are laid down in the New Testament as the conditions of personal salvation.
5. To amend the law concerning the removal of a pastor from a charge, so that the unexpended balance in the home work shall be paid to Sunday schools in foreign fields.

NEW BISHOPS.

It is possible—may it be probable—that in session will decide to elect one or two new bishops. Should the matter be added to it, it is probable that Dr. Robert Newton Shedd, the chairman of the Virginia delegation, will receive the honor, which he just did miss at St. Louis four years ago. When he was elected in the largest vote that was ever given to a man to elevate him to the General Conference.

There will be a daily Advocate published for the accommodation of the body by the publishing house of the Church. The work will be done in Memphis, and the talented editor of the Richmond Christian Advocate will be at the helm, which beforehand figures success.

Election of Officers.

Sidney Leslie, No. 428, Independent Order of Odd Fellows, elected Friday night at Byrdsdale Hall the following officers: Chief Templar, William Hall; Vice-Templar, Miss Mollie Scott; Secretary, Joseph H. Shepherd, Assistant Secretary, Miss May Dawley; Financial Secretary, E. H. Bowman; Treasurer, Miss Mattie Turley; Chaplain, Edward Williams; Marshal, Eugene Perkins; Deputy Marshal, Miss Julia Calder; Guard, Dr. George Passey; Sentinel, J. C. Smith; Organist, Mrs. Mabel Smith; and Chorister, Francis Dawley.

These officers will be installed next Friday night.

The Bazaar Still Attracts.

The ladies of the Union-Station bazaar have every reason to feel assured of great success in their undertaking by the patronage they have had during the past week. There are special attractions each night, and the voting of the silver pitcher and two gold watches to the most popular young lady, and a sword to the most popular soldier company, makes it very interesting to those who attend. The bazaar will continue throughout next week. To all who desire to help a good cause a hearty welcome is extended.

MRS. DAVIS'S PENSION

SOUTHERN VETERANS DID NOT TURN HER THE COLD SHOULDER.

What the Committee Really Reported—Interest in Erecting the Davis Monument—Southern School Histories.

The Richmond delegates to the reunion of the United Confederate Veterans at Birmingham, Ala., returned yesterday morning. Mayor Elyson attended the reunion both as a delegate from Lee Camp and in his capacity as president of the Jefferson Davis Monument Association.

When shown the telegram sent out from Birmingham asserting that the committee appointed at New Orleans to secure a pension from the several southern States for Mrs. Jefferson Davis had recommended "that the movement be dropped," stating that as Mrs. Davis had selected New York City for her residence she had thereby lost her identity with the southern States, and that the report was adopted, "and Mrs. Davis's name would be stricken from all future consideration," Mr. Elyson said the statement was as far from the truth as possible. Continuing, Mr. Elyson said that he heard the report read, and paid very careful attention to it, as he had been one of those in Virginia selected to look after the matter in this State.

WHAT DID OCCUR.

What did occur was this: The committee, in making its report, stated that they had been unable to secure appropriations from the Legislatures of the southern States, because, in the first place, the Constitution in a number of the States positively forbade appropriations for a pension to any one not a resident of the State, and in other States where this inhibition was not expressed in the Constitution, it was the opinion of eminent lawyers that an appropriation could not be made to any one except to a resident of the State. This was so generally the opinion that it was found impracticable to press the matter, and the committee, whilst regretting to have to so report, recommended that, in view of the legal difficulties, the committee be discharged from further consideration of the subject. There was not a single reference to the fact that Mrs. Davis was a resident in New York, and the statement to that effect in the telegram, Mr. Elyson declared, was wholly without foundation.

It was in the opinion of the committee which would be the liveliest imagination have been constructed to have any such meaning.

THE DAVIS MONUMENT.

Mayor Elyson, speaking as president of the Jefferson Davis Monument Association, said he was gratified to find that there was a good deal of interest among the veterans on the subject of the erection of a monument in Richmond. The Jefferson Davis Monument Committee, of which General W. L. Cabell is chairman, reported a recommendation that each camp in the South be asked to make a contribution to the monument of 25 cents in fact. There was nothing in the report of the veterans on the subject of the monument, but that designs for the monument should be at once invited, and that the cornerstone should be laid at no distant day.

The Mayor said the members of the Committee on History have no doubt of their ability to secure good school histories of the United States, which they would like to have published by some of our southern publishing-houses.

Mayor Elyson was delighted with his trip to Birmingham. In his party returning were Judge George L. Christian, Colonel John Murphy, Judge F. R. Farley, Mr. Joseph Bryan, Mr. George L. Christian, Jr., and Mr. J. Stewart Bryan.

"We did not," he said, "succeed in getting the veterans to have their reunion in Richmond next year, but we will doubtless be able to do so in 1895."

OFFICER WILLIAMS'S CASE.

Charge of Drunkenness Dismissed—Sent to a Jury for Resisting.

Officer J. Walter Williams, whose arrest was chronicled exclusively in the Dispatch last Sunday morning, was partially exonerated in his examination in the Police Court yesterday. The charge

of drunkenness was not sustained. He was, however, required to give bond in the sum of \$100 to keep the peace for a period of twelve months toward his father-in-law, Mr. James McConnell, and was also sent to the grand jury of the Hustings Court on the charge of resisting and threatening to shoot Officer W. A. Shields, who made the arrest. The complete story of this affair was told in the Dispatch last Sunday, and on account of the prominence of those connected with the affair there was a large crowd at the Police Court yesterday to listen to the proceedings. Nearly all the policemen of the Third (Mr. Williams's) District who were off duty were present.

STORY OF THE TROUBLE.

Family, Officers McConnell, the defendant, Officer Shields, and several other witnesses testified. From a summing up of the testimony it appears that about five or six months ago, while Policeman Williams and his wife and two children were boarding up a house, Mrs. Williams, her little ones and went to the home of her father, Mr. McConnell, who resides at No. 119 east Leigh street. This separation has existed ever since. Mrs. Williams having been permitted a part of the time to go to the home of Mr. McConnell to see her children. For two months he contributed \$25 a month to defraying the boarding expenses of his family. This was stopped, it is claimed, because of the fact that he could not go to see his children. On the 19th instant, this was stopped, it is claimed, because of the fact that he could not go to see his children. On the 19th instant, this was stopped, it is claimed, because of the fact that he could not go to see his children. On the 19th instant, this was stopped, it is claimed, because of the fact that he could not go to see his children.

Mr. Williams would not sign for the articles, saying that she did not understand them, and the husband said he would take the money away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While in his wife's apartment the father-in-law ordered him to leave the premises. Mr. Williams said he would take the things away. He was told, he said, by his wife, which statement she denied, that the goods were up stairs in her room on the bed, and he went up after them. While